T - U	
Talk or poster	Presentation as part of the special session entitled 'Approaches to
presentation?	diverse policy needs and evidence streams: systematic review and
	evidence integration for national environmental decision-making'
Language of the	English
presentation	
Title of the presentation	Assigning Confidence in Evidence Integration Stage: An Approach to
(limited to 150 characters	Engage Decision Makers with Systematic Reviews
•	Engage Decision Makers with Systematic Reviews
including spaces)	
Author's name	Dr. Alexandra Collins
 affiliation 	Imperial College London
 complete contact 	
information	Weeks Building
	16-18 Prince's Gardens,
	South Kensington,
	London,
	United Kingdom,
	SW7 1BA
	SW7 IBA
e-mail address	Alexandra.collins@imperial.ac.uk
e mai address	Alexandra.collins@imperial.ac.uk
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to
	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of different methods and introduce the approach taken in a number of
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of different methods and introduce the approach taken in a number of high-level systematic policy briefings for the UK Department of
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of different methods and introduce the approach taken in a number of high-level systematic policy briefings for the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Finally, recommendations on how
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of different methods and introduce the approach taken in a number of high-level systematic policy briefings for the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Finally, recommendations on how to assess and communicate uncertainty in CEE Systematic Reviews will
Abstract (limited to 150	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of different methods and introduce the approach taken in a number of high-level systematic policy briefings for the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Finally, recommendations on how
Abstract (limited to 150 words)	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of different methods and introduce the approach taken in a number of high-level systematic policy briefings for the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Finally, recommendations on how to assess and communicate uncertainty in CEE Systematic Reviews will be presented.
Abstract (limited to 150 words) Required support for	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of different methods and introduce the approach taken in a number of high-level systematic policy briefings for the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Finally, recommendations on how to assess and communicate uncertainty in CEE Systematic Reviews will
Abstract (limited to 150 words)	To make good decisions policy and practice decision-makers need to understand the degrees of uncertainty and confidence associated with different options available. As a result, assigning confidence at the evidence integration stage has been identified as an approach to engage decision makers with systematic reviews and to assist in the communication of reviews' findings. This presentation will share experience of working with decision-makers within the UK and the EU's Scientific Advisory Mechanism to develop methods to do this. It will discuss the need to assign confidence, present findings of a review of different methods and introduce the approach taken in a number of high-level systematic policy briefings for the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Finally, recommendations on how to assess and communicate uncertainty in CEE Systematic Reviews will be presented.